

Sex differences in attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder diagnosis and clinical care: a national study of population healthcare records in Wales

Joanna Martin,^{1,2} Kate Langley,³ Miriam Cooper,⁴ Olivier Y. Rouquette,⁵
Ann John,^{1,2,5} Kapil Sayal,^{6,7} Tamsin Ford,⁸ and Anita Thapar^{1,2}

¹Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK; ²Wolfson Centre for Young People's Mental Health, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK; ³School of Psychology, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK; ⁴Neurodevelopmental Service, Cwm Taf Morgannwg University Health Board, Merthyr Tydfil, UK; ⁵Institute of Suicide Prevention and Mental Health, Swansea University, Swansea, UK; ⁶Centre for ADHD and Neurodevelopmental Disorders Across the Lifespan, Institute of Mental Health, Nottingham, UK; ⁷Unit of Mental Health and Clinical Neurosciences, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK; ⁸Department of Psychiatry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK

Background: Population-based studies have observed sex biases in the diagnosis and treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD). Females are less likely to be diagnosed or prescribed ADHD medication. This study uses national healthcare records, to investigate sex differences in diagnosis and clinical care in young people with ADHD, particularly regarding recognition and treatment of other mental health conditions. **Methods:** The cohort included individuals diagnosed with ADHD, born between 1989 and 2013 and living in Wales between 2000 and 2019. Routine primary and secondary healthcare record data were used to derive diagnoses of ADHD and other neurodevelopmental and mental health conditions, as well as ADHD and antidepressant medications. Demographic variables included ethnicity, socioeconomic deprivation and contact with social services. **Results:** There were 16,458 individuals diagnosed with ADHD (20.3% females, ages 3–30 years), with a male-to-female ratio of 3.9:1. Higher ratios (4.8:1) were seen in individuals diagnosed younger (<12 years), with the lowest ratio (1.9:1) in those diagnosed as adults (>18). Males were younger at first recorded ADHD diagnosis (mean = 10.9 vs. 12.6 years), more likely to be prescribed ADHD medication and younger at diagnosis of co-occurring neurodevelopmental conditions. In contrast, females were more likely to receive a diagnosis of anxiety, depression or another mental health condition and to be prescribed antidepressant medications, prior to ADHD diagnosis. These sex differences were largely stable across demographic groups. **Conclusions:** This study adds to the evidence base that females with ADHD are experiencing later recognition and treatment of ADHD. The results indicate that this may be partly because of diagnostic overshadowing from other mental health conditions, such as anxiety and depression, or initial misdiagnosis. Further research and dissemination of findings to the public are needed to improve awareness, timely diagnosis and treatment of ADHD in females. **Keywords:** ADHD; sex differences; neurodevelopmental conditions; mental health.

Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) is a common and impairing neurodevelopmental condition. In childhood, it is more frequently observed in males than females (Willcutt, 2012), and this sex bias is especially marked in referred clinical samples, compared to screened population-based samples (Faraone et al., 2015). Studies of adults report less of a male bias, with many community or general population studies, reporting a 1:1 sex ratio (Faheem et al., 2022). Registry-based studies of children in Denmark and Sweden have found that ADHD tends to be recognised and diagnosed on average at a later age in females (Dalsgaard et al., 2019; Martin et al., 2018; Wimberley et al., 2020). This observed later age at diagnosis is consistent with the less prominent male bias seen in adults. In this paper, we use the terms male and female, as epidemiological and observational studies typically rely on

information about sex assigned at birth, rather than gender identity.

Although ADHD prevalence is similar across countries, its recognition, diagnosis and treatment varies across countries, time, raters and study methodologies (Polanczyk, de Lima, Horta, Biederman, & Rohde, 2007; Rydell, Lundström, Gillberg, Lichtenstein, & Larsson, 2018; Willcutt, 2012). There is evidence that ADHD is underdiagnosed in the UK (Young et al., 2021), as well as growing recognition that ADHD may be especially underdiagnosed in females (Quinn & Madhoo, 2014; Young et al., 2020). Even when a diagnosis is made in females, treatment with ADHD medication is often further delayed or not provided for younger females, with somewhat less pronounced sex differences in prescriptions for adults with ADHD (Dalsgaard, Leckman, Nielsen, & Simonsen, 2014; Kok, Groen, Fuermaier, & Tucha, 2020; Russell, Ford, & Russell, 2019).

Further studies are needed to more deeply examine sex biases in current diagnostic practices and

Conflict of interest statement: See Acknowledgements for full disclosures.

© 2024 The Author(s). *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Association for Child and Adolescent Mental Health.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution](#) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

clinical care of ADHD. Diagnostic overshadowing from various mental health conditions may delay recognition of ADHD in females (Quinn & Madhoo, 2014; Young et al., 2020). However, to date there is little empirical research that has examined this important possibility.

A variety of demographic factors could also influence the likelihood of diagnosis and treatment, acting in combination with the impact of an individual's sex. These factors may include socioeconomic deprivation, ethnicity and contact with social services (Ford, Vostanis, Meltzer, & Goodman, 2007; Madsen et al., 2018; Mennies, Birk, Norris, & Olino, 2020; Prasad, West, Kendrick, & Sayal, 2019; Wright et al., 2015).

The aim of this study was to use routinely collected, nationwide primary and secondary health-care records to examine sex differences in ADHD diagnosis and clinical care of children and young adults diagnosed with ADHD across a 20-year time period. Additional aims were to assess whether females from certain demographic groups experience particular delays in obtaining an ADHD diagnosis and treatment and for changes over time.

Method

Data

We used nationwide register data from the Secure Anonymised Information Linkage (SAIL) databank (<http://www.saildatabank.com>; Jones et al., 2014; Rodgers et al., 2009; Rodgers, Demmler, Dsilva, & Lyons, 2012). SAIL is a person-level linkable data repository that covers routinely collected primary and secondary healthcare, education and social care data for Wales (including approximately 5.45 million individuals). Data were linked using a secure research infrastructure platform, supported by the Adolescent Mental Health Data Platform (ADP: <https://adolescentmentalhealth.uk>), that pools data from multiple sources to create a research cohort using person-specific anonymous linkage field identity numbers, using all records linked deterministically or probabilistically with a linkage score >0.9 (Lyons et al., 2009). Ethical approval for this project was granted by the Information Governance Review Panel (IGRP #1335); this is an independent body consisting of government, regulatory and professional agencies (Ford et al., 2009).

The following datasets, covering the whole population of Wales, unless otherwise specified, were linked at individual level. Information on date of birth and sex assigned at birth was obtained from the Welsh Demographic Service Dataset (WDS), which is a demographics register of people registered with a general practice (GP) in Wales. Data on death was obtained from the Annual District Death Extract. The databank includes healthcare records from the Welsh Longitudinal General Practice Database (WLGPD), including attendance and clinical information for primary care data (covering 86% of the population of Wales), the Patient Episode Database for Wales (PEDW), including hospital admissions (inpatients and day cases, including diagnoses) and Emergency Department Dataset, including accident and emergency attendance. These datasets include dates of diagnosis/appointments and prescription information.

Information at household level, including the Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation, was obtained from the WDS dataset. Information on ethnicity came from the Office for National

Statistics (ONS) Census 2011: Welsh Records, covering 68.9% of the study population. Information on young people who have had contact with social services came from linked databases held by Welsh Government, including the Children Receiving Care and Support (CRCS), Looked After Children Wales (LACW) and Children in Need Wales (CINW) census data (Lee et al., 2022).

Cohort definition

The study follow-up period used data from 01.01.2000 until 31.12.2019, spanning 20 calendar years. A minimum of 1 year of follow-up time was required and individuals with less than 365 (noncontinuous) days of data were excluded. Individuals were included if they were born between 01.01.1989 and 31.12.2013. At the start of the study period, the oldest individuals were 11 years old, allowing a minimum of 1 year of follow-up data before age 12. Each individual was followed up from the maximum date between their third birthday, the start of the study period, start of registration with a GP surgery providing data to SAIL, or start of registration at a Welsh address in the WDS. The end of each individual's follow-up period was defined as the end of the study period, end of registration with a GP surgery providing data to SAIL, end of registration at a Welsh address or their date of death (whichever came first). To obtain unbiased estimates of age at first recorded diagnosis and include data from young adults, an individual's age was not used when defining the end of their follow-up period; by the end of the study period, the oldest individuals were 30 years old.

The sample was defined as those meeting the above inclusion criteria, who received an ADHD diagnosis at any time during each individual's follow-up period. Individuals who only had a record of ADHD diagnosis prior to age 3 and never again or outside their follow-up period were excluded. ADHD diagnosis was defined using a list of International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) codes relevant to ADHD, that are used in secondary care, as well as Read Code lists and algorithms (version 2), which are a coded thesaurus of clinical terms (including diagnoses and administrative codes) used in primary care in the UK National Health Service (NHS). These ADHD codes have previously been validated using an external cohort of young people with ADHD (Langley et al., 2023); see Table S1. ADHD medications were not used to define the cohort as they were analysed to examine sex differences. Individuals who had recorded ADHD medication and otherwise met study inclusion criteria were excluded if there was no ADHD diagnosis recorded ($N = 1,600$, of whom 293 (18.3%) were female, giving a male-to-female ratio of 4.5:1).

Variable definitions

Timing of ADHD diagnosis. Age at first recorded ADHD diagnosis was defined using the first date recorded in either primary or secondary care (WLGPD/PEDW) records. Because the precise age of first recorded diagnosis could be influenced by numerous factors, including waiting list variability across geographical location, we also generated binary variables related to diagnosis timing. 'Earlier' ADHD diagnoses were defined as those that were first recorded before age 12 years (i.e. prior to or around the time of the key life transition from primary to secondary school and in line with the ADHD symptom age at onset definition used by the DSM-5). 'Later' diagnoses were defined as those first recorded on or after an individual's 12th birthday (i.e. during secondary school or young adulthood). Given the typical early onset of ADHD and its wide-ranging impacts, failure to recognise diagnosis during primary school is likely to indicate a lack of timely educational or other support. We also separately tested diagnosis before

and after age 18 (i.e. prior to or around the time of the key life transition to adulthood, including transition from child to adult healthcare services; child: <18, adult ≥18).

ADHD medication. We defined several variables related to ADHD medication prescriptions, expanding a previous list of Read Codes (Langley et al., 2023) to include additional codes based on consultation with a clinical child psychiatrist specialising in ADHD in Wales (Table S2). The following binary variables were defined: prescription of any ADHD medication, prescription of any stimulant medication, prescription of any nonstimulant medication, and prescription of both types of ADHD medication at some time during the study period; this latter variable may represent stimulant treatment failure or intolerance or alternatively co-occurring tics (NICE, 2019). We also defined a variable for the time elapsed between the first recorded ADHD diagnosis and ADHD prescription; individuals who were prescribed medication up to 1 month prior to first recorded diagnosis ($N = 271$) were given a score of zero, whereas other negative values ($N = 2,101$) were recoded as missing (these could result from individuals diagnosed before the start of the study) and these individuals were excluded from the analysis of this variable.

Other neurodevelopmental and mental health conditions. We used lists of Read Codes and ICD-10 codes available in the ADP (see Table S3 for links to code lists) to derive variables related to recorded instances and ages at first record for a range of conditions. We focused on commonly co-occurring neurodevelopmental conditions: autism spectrum disorder (ASD) and specific learning difficulties (LD) such as dyslexia or motor problems, defined using validated lists of codes (Table S3) (John et al., 2022; Langley et al., 2023; Underwood, DelPozo-Banos, Frizzati, John, & Hall, 2022). We defined separate variables for commonly co-occurring mental health conditions: anxiety, depression and 'other mental health condition', including self-harm, eating disorders, alcohol and drug misuse, conduct disorder, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders (Economou et al., 2012; John et al., 2016, 2018, 2020, 2022; Kennedy, Kennedy, Kerr, Dredge, & Brophy, 2022; Marchant et al., 2020; Micali, Hagberg, Petersen, & Treasure, 2013; Wood et al., 2019). We also examined the difference between ages at first recorded ADHD and mental health conditions to derive binary variables of whether a mental health condition was recorded prior to ADHD, compared to at the same time or after ADHD.

Antidepressant medication. We defined antidepressant medication prescriptions using a previously developed list of Read Codes (Table S3) (John et al., 2016). We derived variables for any antidepressant medication prescription, age at first recorded prescription and a binary variable for whether first recorded antidepressant was prior to or after ADHD diagnosis. To further examine timing of antidepressant use in relation to ADHD diagnosis, we derived a binary variable for whether individuals with an antidepressant prescription recorded prior to first ADHD diagnosis continued to receive this medication after ADHD diagnosis or not.

Demographic factors. We examined sex assigned at birth, based on information in the WDS. Information on ethnicity was available using groupings in line with the major ethnic groups in the UK as defined by the ONS: White, Asian/Asian British, Black/African/Caribbean/Black British, mixed/multiple ethnic groups and other ethnic minority group; a variable was defined for ethnic majority (White) compared to ethnic minority (all other groups), due to small sample sizes of these groups. Socioeconomic deprivation was defined using the Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation (WIMD),

based on average income, education, employment, and health statistics in a local geographical area, at the start of entry into the study. Quintiles of deprivation were defined using the national WIMD cut-offs (1: least deprived, 5: most deprived). We also defined a subgroup of individuals who have ever had contact with social services, including those who have ever been looked after in state/foster care, based on the LACW dataset, as well as whether they have ever been listed on the child protection register, based on a combination of the CRCS and CINW datasets (Lee et al., 2022). It was not possible to conclusively ascertain from the data which individuals definitely did not have social services contact.

Data analyses

First, we established the male-to-female (M:F) sex ratio for the full ADHD cohort. We examined the M:F ratio in individuals stratified by age at first recorded ADHD diagnosis: (a) earlier (<12) versus later (12+) and (b) child (<18) versus adult (18+). We also examined the ratio stratified by birth year (clustering every 5 years to increase cluster sizes), calendar year of first recorded diagnosis (clustering every 5 years), ethnicity, socioeconomic deprivation level and social services involvement.

Next, we tested for sex differences in variables related to diagnosis and prescriptions for ADHD, other neurodevelopmental conditions (ASD and LD), anxiety, depression and other mental health conditions. Correction for multiple testing was applied using the false discovery rate (FDR).

Finally, we tested whether similar patterns of associations were seen across different demographic groups. We stratified the analyses by ethnic group, deprivation level, and birth year cluster and used interaction tests to assess whether these variables moderated the observed associations. We also repeated the main analyses in individuals with any social services contact, without doing an interaction test, due to incomplete information for this variable.

All analyses were performed using logistic regression in R, to estimate the odds ratio for each variable, comparing males (coded as 0) and females (coded as 1). Birth year and individual follow-up time were included as covariates, to account for variation in date of birth and length of individual follow-up time.

Sensitivity analyses

We explored the influence of changing guidelines and services, which could have influenced diagnostic practice in Wales. The ADHD NICE guidelines were published in 2008 and revised in 2018. In April 2016, there was a substantial change in child ADHD diagnostic services in Wales, with the introduction of neurodevelopmental services and a gradual shift over time of diagnosing ADHD in these new services. As such, we checked the M:F ratio stratified by year of first recorded ADHD diagnosis, to explore changes in the sex ratio after 2008 and 2016.

We also tested two other ages to split the sample based on first ADHD diagnosis, corresponding to the transition from UK school key stages 1–2 (age 7) and 3–4 (age 14), to ascertain whether there is a clear point at which the M:F ratio changes and whether this influenced our results.

To consider the influence of data accuracy and coverage, we repeated our main analyses in restricted subsets of the cohort. First, we only included data from individuals who were diagnosed with ADHD at least once after age 5, to account for possible diagnostic uncertainty or administrative errors prior to this age ($N = 306$ excluded) and were also followed up from age 5 onwards, thereby excluding those who entered into the study after their fifth birthday ($N = 4,157$). Next, we repeated the analyses in individuals with complete or relatively complete data coverage (≥95%) during the time each individual

was included in the study ($N = 9,816$). Finally, we also examined a subset of those ADHD first recorded after age 18 ($N = 1,672$).

Results

Description of male-to-female ratios

The cohort consisted of 13,110 (79.7%) males and 3,348 (20.3%) females diagnosed with ADHD, giving a male-to-female (M:F) ratio of 3.9:1. Figure 1 displays the M:F ratios (see Table S4 for details). The M:F ratio was higher in individuals first diagnosed before age 12 compared with after this age, as well as in those diagnosed before age 18 compared to after. The lowest M:F ratio (1.9:1) was seen in those diagnosed at age 18 or above. Correspondingly, the M:F ratio split by birth year clusters showed a lower ratio in those who were oldest by the end of the study period (ages 26–30) and a higher ratio in those who were youngest by the end of the study period (ages 6–10). The M:F ratio also varied by year of diagnosis; those first diagnosed at the beginning of the study period showed the highest M:F ratio (5.1:1) and this ratio decreased over time. Figure S1 shows the M:F ratio split by individual years, indicating fluctuations but a general downward trend over time, particularly after 2008 when the ADHD NICE guidelines were published. The M:F ratio was similar across ethnicity groups, with a slightly higher ratio in individuals from an ethnic minority compared to ethnic majority background. The M:F ratio was a little lower in individuals who had any contact with social services, compared with the full cohort. The M:F ratio was somewhat higher in those who lived in the most socioeconomically deprived areas.

Sex differences in ADHD diagnosis and clinical care

The results of analyses examining sex differences in ADHD diagnosis and clinical care can be found in Figure 2 and Table S5 (detailed results).

Timing of ADHD diagnosis. On average, males were first diagnosed with ADHD at a younger age than females (M: 10.9, F: 12.6 years; Figure 2A). Females were more likely than males to be diagnosed after age 12 and also after age 18 (Figure 2B).

ADHD medication. Males were more likely to be prescribed any ADHD medication and specifically stimulant medication, with no sex difference in nonstimulant prescriptions or being prescribed both types of medications (Figure 2B). Additionally, males were younger at first prescription of ADHD medications (M: 11.2, F: 12.8 years), including for both stimulants (M: 11.1, F: 12.7 years) and nonstimulants separately (M: 13.3, F: 14.5 years; Figure 2A). There was no sex difference in the time elapsed

between first recorded ADHD diagnosis and first prescribed medication for ADHD (M: 1.1, F: 1.1 years; Table S5).

Other neurodevelopmental and mental health conditions. Males were younger at first recorded diagnoses of co-occurring ASD (M: 11.0, F: 12.2 years) and LD (M: 11.4, F: 12.7 years; Figure 2A). Females were more likely to have a recorded diagnosis of anxiety, depression or any other mental health condition, in addition to ADHD (Figure 2C). Females were younger at first recorded depression (F: 18.6, M: 19.8 years), with no sex difference at age of first anxiety (F: 18.5, M: 18.3 years) or other mental health conditions (F: 14.7, M: 14.2 years; Figure 2A). In those with the co-occurring condition, females were more likely than males to have a record of each of the co-occurring diagnoses prior to first recorded ADHD diagnosis (Figure 2C).

Antidepressant medication. Females were more likely to be prescribed antidepressant medication (Figure 2C), were younger at first antidepressant prescription (F: 19.8, M: 20.2 years; Figure 2A) and were more likely to be prescribed antidepressant medication prior to their ADHD diagnosis (Figure 2C). In the subgroup of individuals who received antidepressant medication prior to ADHD diagnosis, females were less likely than males to continue to receive antidepressants after their recorded ADHD diagnosis (Figure 2C).

Impact of demographic factors

We repeated the analyses in the subset of individuals who had ever had social services involvement ($N = 1,332$). Males were on average diagnosed at a younger age than females (M: 9.6, F: 10.7 years), with mean ages somewhat lower than seen in the full cohort. Overall, the pattern of sex differences was similar to the primary analyses (Table S6), except the association was no longer robust for some variables (ages at nonstimulant and antidepressant medications and LD diagnosis; anxiety and antidepressant records before ADHD and antidepressant continuation), likely due to the reduced sample size as the point estimates were mostly similar (except for anxiety before ADHD).

Next, we stratified the analyses by ethnicity, WIMD and birth year and tested for moderation of sex differences by these variables. Table 1 summarises the results of the interaction analyses. There was no evidence of moderation from ethnicity or WIMD on any of the variables.

Birth year had an influence on several variables related to mental health diagnoses and antidepressant medication. Overall, females were more likely to obtain an 'other' mental health diagnosis prior to ADHD, but only in the individuals in the three earlier birth year clusters. Females were younger than




Figure 1 Male-to-female ratios in the full cohort and stratified by age at first recorded diagnosis, year of birth, year of first recorded diagnosis, ethnicity group, experience of social services involvement and deprivation level. The dashed line indicates the male-to-female ratio in the full cohort. LAC, looked after children; CPR, child protection register; WIMD, Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation (1: least deprived, 5: most deprived)

males at first recorded antidepressant medication, but this was only observed in the two earlier birth year clusters. Females were younger at first depression diagnosis compared to males, but only in those born in the three earlier birth year clusters. Finally, females were older at first anxiety and other mental health diagnoses compared to males, but only in those born between 1999 and 2008 and not in the other birth year clusters. Birth year was incorporated into all other analyses as a covariate, adjusting for these effects.

Sensitivity analyses

When using ages 7 and 14 to split the sample into age at ADHD diagnosis groups, there was a similar pattern of results. The M:F ratio was higher in those diagnosed before age 7 (5.1:1) or age 14 (4.7:1) compared with those diagnosed at age 7 onwards (3.7:1) or age 14 onwards (2.3:1), respectively. Females were more likely than males to be in the later diagnosed group [age 7: 85.9% vs. 81.6%, OR (CIs) = 1.30 (1.16–1.45); age 14: 34.2% vs. 20.2%, OR (CIs) = 2.18 (1.98–2.39)]. Overall, the results showed gradually increasing strengths of association for this analysis across ages 7, 12, 14 and 18.

Restricting the sample to those with ADHD diagnoses after age 5 and those with less missing information ($N = 12,301$; 74.7%) showed a largely similar pattern of results, except that in this subgroup, females were older at first recorded anxiety or other mental health diagnosis and were less likely to have been prescribed nonstimulants as well as both types of ADHD medications; on the other hand the sex difference for age at first antidepressant medication no longer held (Table S7). Restricting the sample to those with good coverage across the study period ($N = 9,816$; 59.6%) no longer showed a sex difference for age at first antidepressant medication or first recorded LD, but did show the sex difference for ages at first recorded anxiety or other mental health diagnosis (Table S8). The results in those with ADHD first recorded after age 18 looked similar to the main analyses (Table S9).

Discussion

The aim of this study was to test for sex differences in diagnosis and clinical care of ADHD in children and young adults (aged 3–30 years), particularly regarding recognition and treatment of other mental health




Figure 2 Sex-stratified results displaying: (A) ages at first recorded instances of diagnoses and prescriptions (with standard errors of the mean shown as error bars), (B) percentages related to recorded ADHD diagnosis timing and ADHD prescriptions and (C) percentages related to recorded mental health diagnoses and antidepressant prescriptions. Where stated 'before ADHD' in (C), this variable is measured only within those who have a record of the specified diagnosis/prescription. Where stated 'after ADHD' in (C), this variable is measured only within those who have a recorded antidepressant prescription prior to ADHD diagnosis. ASD, autism spectrum disorder; LD, learning difficulties; MH, mental health. * $p < 0.05$ after false discovery rate correction

conditions, using national healthcare records. Females were older at age of first recorded diagnoses of ADHD and co-occurring ASD/LD and less likely to be prescribed stimulant medication. They were more likely to be diagnosed with anxiety, depression or another mental health condition and to be prescribed antidepressant medication, both in general and prior to their ADHD diagnosis. They were younger at first antidepressant prescription and less likely to continue to receive antidepressants after ADHD diagnosis. These sex differences in diagnosis and treatment of young people with ADHD have

important implications for improvements to clinical practice.

Overall, there were almost four males for every female diagnosed with ADHD, similar to other studies (Sayal, Prasad, Daley, Ford, & Coghill, 2018; Willcutt, 2012). Regardless of which substrata of the cohort was examined, the M:F ratio was always above 1, which could indicate missed ADHD in females or some degree of true male bias in ADHD. The lowest ratio was in individuals diagnosed in adulthood (1.9:1). The other factor influencing the ratio was year of

Table 1 Results of interaction analyses of demographic variables and sex in relation to ADHD diagnosis and clinical care variables

Variable	Ethnicity		WIMD		Birth year cluster	
	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> _{FDR}	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> _{FDR}	OR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> _{FDR}
Age at first ADHD diagnosis	0.97 (0.92–1.02)	.98	1.00 (0.99–1.00)	.79	1.00 (1.00–1.01)	.79
Age at first ADHD medication (any)	1.00 (0.93–1.07)	1.00	0.99 (0.99–1.00)	.63	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	.85
Age at first stimulant prescription	1.00 (0.93–1.08)	1.00	0.99 (0.99–1.00)	.63	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	.85
Age at first nonstimulant prescription	0.95 (0.83–1.08)	.98	1.00 (0.99–1.02)	.83	1.01 (0.99–1.03)	.79
Age at first antidepressant medication prescription	1.01 (0.89–1.14)	1.00	0.99 (0.97–1.00)	.48	1.04 (1.02–1.06)	1.2E–03
Age at first ASD diagnosis	1.03 (0.86–1.21)	1.00	0.99 (0.97–1.00)	.60	0.99 (0.97–1.01)	.79
Age at first LD diagnosis	0.99 (0.86–1.15)	1.00	1.00 (0.99–1.02)	.83	1.00 (0.98–1.02)	.85
Age at first other mental health diagnosis	1.00 (0.92–1.10)	1.00	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	.83	1.03 (1.02–1.04)	2.1E–05
Age at first anxiety diagnosis	1.06 (0.91–1.24)	.98	1.00 (0.99–1.01)	.83	1.03 (1.02–1.05)	1.1E–04
Age at first depression diagnosis	0.99 (0.83–1.17)	1.00	0.99 (0.98–1.01)	.83	1.04 (1.02–1.06)	7.2E–04
Age difference: ADHD diagnosis versus ADHD medication	1.05 (0.86–1.26)	1.00	1.00 (0.97–1.02)	.83	1.00 (0.98–1.03)	.85
ADHD diagnosis group (<12 vs. 12+)	1.08 (0.61–1.89)	1.00	0.96 (0.91–1.02)	.79	NA	NA
ADHD diagnosis group (<18 vs. 18+)	0.53 (0.23–1.15)	.98	0.96 (0.89–1.04)	.83	NA	NA
Any ADHD medication	1.40 (0.81–2.45)	.98	1.01 (0.95–1.07)	.83	0.99 (0.93–1.05)	.85
Any stimulants	1.16 (0.66–2.01)	1.00	1.01 (0.95–1.07)	.83	0.99 (0.93–1.05)	.85
Any nonstimulants	1.81 (0.84–3.70)	.98	0.98 (0.90–1.07)	.83	0.96 (0.86–1.06)	.79
Both stimulants & nonstimulants	1.37 (0.52–3.24)	.98	0.98 (0.89–1.08)	.83	0.97 (0.86–1.09)	.85
Any antidepressant medication	1.04 (0.54–1.99)	1.00	1.01 (0.95–1.07)	.83	0.98 (0.89–1.07)	.85
Any other mental health diagnosis	0.99 (0.53–1.79)	1.00	0.99 (0.94–1.05)	.83	0.99 (0.93–1.06)	.85
Any anxiety diagnosis	0.92 (0.45–1.82)	1.00	0.99 (0.93–1.06)	.83	1.02 (0.94–1.10)	.85
Any depression diagnosis	0.78 (0.41–1.47)	.98	1.01 (0.96–1.08)	.83	1.08 (0.99–1.18)	.34
Other mental health diagnosis first recorded prior to ADHD diagnosis	0.65 (0.23–1.81)	.98	0.95 (0.86–1.04)	.83	0.84 (0.75–0.94)	.011
Anxiety first recorded prior to ADHD diagnosis	1.67 (0.39–7.40)	.98	0.98 (0.87–1.10)	.83	0.83 (0.71–0.98)	.10
Depression first recorded prior to ADHD diagnosis	0.60 (0.18–1.96)	.98	0.98 (0.88–1.10)	.83	0.92 (0.77–1.10)	.79
Antidepressant first recorded prior to ADHD diagnosis	0.57 (0.15–2.01)	.98	0.98 (0.87–1.11)	.83	0.95 (0.77–1.18)	.85
Antidepressant continuation after ADHD diagnosis	0.13 (0.00–1.61)	.98	0.96 (0.77–1.18)	.83	0.77 (0.52–1.13)	.54

ASD, autism spectrum disorder; FDR, false discovery rate correction; LD, learning difficulties; MH, mental health; WIMD, Welsh Index of Multiple Deprivation.

diagnosis, with a decrease over time (from 5.1:1 to 3.2:1). Although the absolute numbers of both sexes being diagnosed increased over time (Table S4), this increase appears more rapid in females. Although these trends could indicate changes in female diagnosis over time, in later calendar years, individuals in the cohort are older, which may mean that the differential ratio observed by age at diagnosis (Figure 1) could explain this decreased ratio. Ethnicity and social services involvement showed only a small influence, indicating that these factors do not exacerbate underrecognition of female ADHD. However, females from lower socioeconomic backgrounds may be less likely to be recognised, referred and diagnosed than those from higher socioeconomic status, although the difference was small (4.1:1 vs. 3.6:1).

Consistent with Scandinavian research, females were older at first ADHD diagnosis (Dalsgaard et al., 2019; Martin et al., 2018; Wimberley et al., 2020). ADHD diagnosis requires symptom onset before age 12 (DSM-5 and ICD-11). We

observed that approximately a third of males (32.9%) and nearly half of females (45.6%) were diagnosed after age 12 and a substantial subgroup (8.4% males, 17.1% females) were diagnosed in adulthood. Later age at diagnosis in females was also seen for co-occurring neurodevelopmental conditions, indicating that a sex bias is even seen in individuals with likely more complex needs and difficulties.

Our study extends previous findings that females are less likely to be prescribed ADHD medication (Dalsgaard et al., 2014; Kok et al., 2020; Russell et al., 2019), by considering stimulants and non-stimulants separately. We found that females were less likely to be prescribed stimulants but with no sex difference for nonstimulants or being prescribed both medication types, though the sensitivity analyses did suggest lower rates of nonstimulant prescription and being prescribed both medication types in females as well. Although females were older at first ADHD medication prescription (regardless of type), later treatment could be explained by later diagnosis. It is unclear why females are less likely to

be prescribed medication. Although they may have lower symptom severity (Loyer Carbonneau, Demers, Bigras, & Guay, 2021), sex differences in prescriptions exist even when accounting for severity (Russell et al., 2019).

It has been proposed that ADHD in females may be missed due to diagnostic overshadowing from mental health conditions (Quinn & Madhoo, 2014; Young et al., 2020). Our study provides some of the first robust empirical support for this, by finding that females are not only more likely to be recognised as having a range of other mental health difficulties, but also that these conditions were more likely to have been diagnosed prior to the recognition of ADHD in females. Depression was diagnosed earlier in females. There was no sex difference in age at diagnosis of other mental health conditions, except in sensitivity analyses, which showed the opposite effect. Females were also more likely to be prescribed antidepressant medication and at a younger age than males. This was more likely to be prior to ADHD diagnosis and in those prescribed antidepressants prior to ADHD diagnosis, females were less likely to continue to receive antidepressant prescriptions after ADHD diagnosis. Consistent with these findings, previous research indicated that anxiety diagnosis may precede ADHD diagnosis in females, clinical referral was more likely to be for emotional problems in females, and females were more likely to receive non-ADHD medication and have been admitted to psychiatric inpatient care (Klefsjö, Kantzer, Gillberg, & Billstedt, 2021; Martin et al., 2020).

The higher rates of anxiety, depression and other mental health diagnoses in females with ADHD may reflect the commonly described sex differences in the general population. Indeed, a previous epidemiological study found that the risk of these co-occurring mental health disorders was similar in males and females with ADHD, when compared with sex-matched individuals without ADHD (Ottosen et al., 2019). However, our results indicate that in individuals who have co-occurring ADHD with other mental health diagnoses, the ADHD diagnosis is temporally more likely to be recorded second in females. A post hoc sensitivity analysis in those diagnosed after age 18 showed that these results could not be explained by females having had more time to pass through the risk period of depression, as this subgroup also had a higher rate of depression diagnosis preceding ADHD (81.7% females vs. 71.4% males).

Given that co-occurring mental health difficulties are a stronger predictor of likelihood of ADHD diagnosis in females than males with high levels of ADHD symptoms (Mowlem, Agnew-Blais, Taylor, & Asherson, 2019; Mowlem et al., 2018), it may be that females with less complex clinical presentations (e.g. ADHD without co-occurring mental health difficulties) are more likely to be missed and, therefore, would be missing in studies of healthcare records.

The results of our study indicate that anxiety, depression and other mental health difficulties are more likely to be recognised prior to ADHD in females, leading to referral to specialist services. These conditions may contribute to diagnostic overshadowing, with ADHD only recognised and diagnosed at a later age. The fact that antidepressant treatment is more likely to be discontinued in females after diagnosis suggests that this treatment may be no longer considered necessary once underlying ADHD is recognised. This further suggests that for some females, there was initial misdiagnosis with another condition prior to ADHD diagnosis. In terms of clinical practice, these results emphasise the need for thorough clinical assessment that includes comorbidities as well as the presenting problems, especially for conditions that can be overlooked, such as ADHD and autism in females and eating disorders in males.

We found limited evidence that social services involvement, ethnic minority status and socioeconomic deprivation intersect with sex to influence ADHD clinical care, although these factors play a primary role in referral and recognition of ADHD (Ford et al., 2007; Madsen et al., 2018; Mennies et al., 2020; Prasad et al., 2019; Wright et al., 2015). One limitation is that the sample sizes for some subgroups (e.g. ethnic minority) were relatively small, which reduced our power to detect differences. We were also unable to examine more specific ethnic groups to explore whether certain ethnic subgroups show an interaction with sex, due to small sample sizes and incomplete data. Our study focused on young people diagnosed with ADHD and did not examine differences relative to the general population. Individuals only diagnosed with ADHD through private healthcare providers could be missing from the data. We were also not able to examine nonpharmacological interventions.

Despite these limitations, our study had several strengths, including a large nationwide ADHD cohort and use of real-world primary and secondary healthcare records, thereby providing a representative picture of clinical practice in Wales during the study period. We examined M:F ratios over time and found a generally decreasing trend. Although clinical services have changed over time (e.g. new NICE guidelines and neurodevelopmental services), there have also been influences from mainstream and social media and other factors (e.g. changes in professional training), which have increased awareness and likely improved recognition of female ADHD.

Conclusion

Overall, we observed that although relatively more females are being diagnosed with ADHD over time, there is still a sex bias and there are prominent sex differences in provision and timing of diagnosis and

treatment for ADHD and co-occurring conditions. Our study adds to the growing evidence base that females with ADHD are experiencing barriers in timely access to clinical care and treatment, which may be partly explained by diagnostic overshadowing from anxiety, depression and other mental health difficulties or initial misdiagnosis. Further efforts to improve timely recognition of female ADHD by clinicians and referrers (e.g. teachers and parents) are required.

Supporting information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article:

Table S1. Attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) diagnosis definition: ICD-10 codes used in secondary care (hospital and emergency services) & Read Codes used in primary care.

Table S2. ADHD prescriptions used in primary care.

Table S3. Validated code lists for other neurodevelopmental and mental health conditions and prescriptions.

Table S4. Male-to-female ratios & sample sizes.

Table S5. Regression analyses testing for sex differences in ADHD diagnosis and clinical care.

Table S6. Results of regression analyses comparing males and females with ADHD on clinical characteristics, in those with social services involvement ($N = 1,332$).

Table S7. Results of sensitivity analyses comparing males and females with ADHD on clinical characteristics, in the subgroup with ADHD after age 5 and complete information from age 5 onwards ($N = 12,301$).

Table S8. Results of sensitivity analyses comparing males and females with ADHD on clinical characteristics, in the subgroup with good coverage across the study period ($N = 9,816$).

Table S9. Results of sensitivity analyses comparing males and females with ADHD on clinical characteristics, in the subgroup with a first recorded diagnosis of ADHD after age 18 ($N = 1,672$).

Figure S1. Male-to-female ratio split by year at first recorded diagnosis.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by the Welsh Government through Health and Care Research Wales via a National

Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) Advanced Fellowship (Ref: NIHR-FS(A)-2022) and was also supported by a NARSAD Young Investigator Grant from the Brain & Behaviour Research Foundation (grant no. 27879). K.S. and T.F. are NIHR Senior Investigators. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. This work was supported by the Adolescent Mental Health Data Platform (ADP). The ADP is funded by MQ Mental Health Research Charity (Grant Reference MQBF/3 ADP). The views expressed are entirely those of the authors and should not be assumed to be the same as those of ADP or MQ Mental Health Research Charity. This study makes use of anonymised data held in the SAIL Databank. We would like to acknowledge all the data providers who make anonymised data available for research. The study also makes use of data provided by the Office of National Statistics (ONS) and we acknowledge that those who carried out the original collection and analysis of the ONS data bear no responsibility for the further analysis or interpretation. This work was supported by the Wolfson Centre for Young People's Mental Health, established with support from the Wolfson Foundation. M.C. has spoken about the Cwm Taf Morgannwg Neurodevelopmental Service at conferences hosted by Takeda (formerly Shire) and Flynn Pharma. These talks were not related to the content of this article and M.C. did not receive personal payment. T.F. research group received funds for research methods consultation with Place2Be, a third sector organisation providing mental health interventions and training within schools. The remaining authors have declared that they have no competing or potential conflicts of interest.

Data availability statement

J.M. and O.R. confirm that they had full access to all the data in the study and take responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis. Data are available through application to the SAIL databank (<http://www.saildatabank.com>).

Correspondence

Joanna Martin, Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Cardiff University, Hadyn Ellis Building, Maindy Road, Cardiff CF24 4HQ, UK; Email: martinjm1@cardiff.ac.uk

Key points

- Previous research suggests that females are less likely to be diagnosed with ADHD, are diagnosed later and are less likely to be prescribed stimulant ADHD medication.
- This study observed that females with ADHD are diagnosed later even in the presence of co-occurring neurodevelopmental conditions and are more likely to be diagnosed with anxiety, depression or other mental health conditions and be prescribed antidepressants, particularly prior to their ADHD diagnosis.
- Females are also less likely to continue to receive antidepressant medication after ADHD diagnosis.

- Clinical services need to improve timely access to clinical care, recognition and treatment for females with ADHD, with clinicians being vigilant about possible diagnostic overshadowing from other mental health difficulties and initial misdiagnosis.

References

- Dalsgaard, S., Leckman, J.F., Nielsen, H.S., & Simonsen, M. (2014). Gender and injuries predict stimulant medication use. *Journal of Child and Adolescent Psychopharmacology*, 24, 253–259.
- Dalsgaard, S., Thorsteinsson, E., Trabjerg, B.B., Schullehner, J., Plana-Ripoll, O., Brikell, I., ... Pedersen, C.B. (2019). Incidence rates and cumulative incidences of the full Spectrum of diagnosed mental disorders in childhood and adolescence. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 77, 155.
- Economou, A., Grey, M., McGregor, J., Craddock, N., Lyons, R.A., Owen, M.J., ... Lloyd, K. (2012). The health informatics cohort enhancement project (HICE): Using routinely collected primary care data to identify people with a lifetime diagnosis of psychotic disorder. *BMC Research Notes*, 5, 95. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1756-0500-5-95>
- Faheem, M., Akram, W., Akram, H., Khan, M.A., Siddiqui, F.A., & Majeed, I. (2022). Gender-based differences in prevalence and effects of ADHD in adults: A systematic review. *Asian Journal of Psychiatry*, 75, 103205.
- Faraone, S.V., Asherson, P., Banaschewski, T., Biederman, J., Buitelaar, J.K., Ramos-Quiroga, J.A., ... Franke, B. (2015). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Nature Reviews Disease Primers*, 1, 15020. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrdp.2015.20>
- Ford, D.V., Jones, K.H., Verplancke, J.P., Lyons, R.A., John, G., Brown, G., ... Leake, K. (2009). The SAIL databank: Building a national architecture for e-health research and evaluation. *BMC Health Services Research*, 9, 1–12.
- Ford, T., Vostanis, P., Meltzer, H., & Goodman, R. (2007). Psychiatric disorder among British children looked after by local authorities: Comparison with children living in private households. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 190, 319–325.
- John, A., DelPozo-Banos, M., Gunnell, D., Dennis, M., Scourfield, J., Ford, D.V., ... Lloyd, K. (2020). Contacts with primary and secondary healthcare prior to suicide: Case-control whole-population-based study using person-level linked routine data in Wales, UK, 2000–2017. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 217, 717–724.
- John, A., Friedmann, Y., DelPozo-Banos, M., Frizzati, A., Ford, T., & Thapar, A. (2022). Association of school absence and exclusion with recorded neurodevelopmental disorders, mental disorders, or self-harm: A nationwide, retrospective, electronic cohort study of children and young people in Wales, UK. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 9, 23–34.
- John, A., McGregor, J., Fone, D., Dunstan, F., Cornish, R., Lyons, R.A., & Lloyd, K.R. (2016). Case-finding for common mental disorders of anxiety and depression in primary care: An external validation of routinely collected data. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 16, 1–10.
- John, A., McGregor, J., Jones, I., Lee, S.C., Walters, J.T.R., Owen, M.J., ... Lloyd, K. (2018). Premature mortality among people with severe mental illness – New evidence from linked primary care data. *Schizophrenia Research*, 199, 154–162.
- Jones, K.H., Ford, D.V., Jones, C., Dsilva, R., Thompson, S., Brooks, C.J., ... Lyons, R.A. (2014). A case study of the secure anonymous information linkage (SAIL) gateway: A privacy-protecting remote access system for health-related research and evaluation. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 50, 196–204.
- Kennedy, N., Kennedy, J., Kerr, M., Dredge, S., & Brophy, S. (2022). Health checks for adults with intellectual disability and association with survival rates: A linked electronic records matched cohort study in Wales, UK. *BMJ Open*, 12, e049441.
- Klefsjö, U., Kantzer, A.K., Gillberg, C., & Billstedt, E. (2021). The road to diagnosis and treatment in girls and boys with ADHD—gender differences in the diagnostic process. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, 75, 301–305.
- Kok, F.M., Groen, Y., Fuermaier, A.B.M., & Tucha, O. (2020). The female side of pharmacotherapy for ADHD—A systematic literature review. *PLoS One*, 15, 1–17.
- Langley, K., del Pozo-Banos, M., Daalsgard, S., Paranjothy, S., Riglin, L., John, A., & Thapar, A. (2023). ADHD and autism Spectrum disorder (ASD) in childhood: Establishing the feasibility and validity of a nation-wide e-cohort.
- Lee, A., Elliott, M., Scourfield, J., Bedston, S., Broadhurst, K., Ford, D.V., & Griffiths, L.J. (2022). Data resource: Children receiving care and support and children in need, administrative records in Wales. *International Journal of Population Data Science*, 7.
- Loyer Carbonneau, M., Demers, M., Bigras, M., & Guay, M.C. (2021). Meta-analysis of sex differences in ADHD symptoms and associated cognitive deficits. *Journal of Attention Disorders*, 25, 1640–1656.
- Lyons, R.A., Jones, K.H., John, G., Brooks, C.J., Verplancke, J.P., Ford, D.V., ... Leake, K. (2009). The SAIL databank: Linking multiple health and social care datasets. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 9, 1–8.
- Madsen, K.B., Ravn, M.H., Arnfred, J., Olsen, J., Rask, C.U., & Obel, C. (2018). Characteristics of undiagnosed children with parent-reported ADHD behaviour. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 27, 149–158.
- Marchant, A., Turner, S., Balbuena, L., Peters, E., Williams, D., Lloyd, K., ... John, A. (2020). Self-harm presentation across healthcare settings by sex in young people: An e-cohort study using routinely collected linked healthcare data in Wales, UK. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 105, 347–354.
- Martin, J., Ghirardi, L., Chen, Q., Hartman, C.A., Rosenqvist, M.A., Taylor, M.J., ... Larsson, H. (2020). Investigating gender-specific effects of familial risk for attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder and other neurodevelopmental disorders in the Swedish population. *BJPsych Open*, 6, 1–7.
- Martin, J., Taylor, M.J., Rydell, M., Riglin, L., Eyre, O., Lu, Y., ... Lichtenstein, P. (2018). Sex-specific manifestation of genetic risk for attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in the general population. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 8, 908–916.
- Mennies, R.J., Birk, S.L., Norris, L.A., & Olino, T.M. (2020). The main and interactive associations between demographic factors and psychopathology and treatment utilization in youth: A test of intersectionality in the ABCD study. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 49, 5–17.
- Micali, N., Hagberg, K.W., Petersen, I., & Treasure, J.L. (2013). The incidence of eating disorders in the UK in 2000–2009: Findings from the general practice research database. *BJM Open*, 3, e002646.
- Mowlem, F., Agnew-Blais, J., Taylor, E., & Asherson, P. (2019). Do different factors influence whether girls versus boys meet ADHD diagnostic criteria? Sex differences among children with high ADHD symptoms. *Psychiatry Research*, 272, 765–773.
- Mowlem, F., Rosenqvist, M.A., Martin, J., Lichtenstein, P., Asherson, P., & Larsson, H. (2018). Sex differences in predicting ADHD clinical diagnosis and pharmacological

- treatment. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, 28, 481–489.
- National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. (2019). Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD): NICE guidelines. Author. <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng87>
- Ottosen, C., Larsen, J.T., Faraone, S.V., Chen, Q., Hartman, C., Larsson, H., ... Dalsgaard, S. (2019). Sex differences in comorbidity patterns of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 58, 412–422.e3.
- Polanczyk, G., de Lima, M.S., Horta, B.L., Biederman, J., & Rohde, L.A. (2007). The worldwide prevalence of ADHD: A systematic review and metaregression analysis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 164, 942–948.
- Prasad, V., West, J., Kendrick, D., & Sayal, K. (2019). Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: Variation by socioeconomic deprivation. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 104, 802–805.
- Quinn, P.O., & Madhoo, M. (2014). A review of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in women and girls: Uncovering this hidden diagnosis. *The Primary Care Companion for CNS Disorders*, 16, PCC.13r01596.
- Rodgers, S.E., Demmler, J.C., Dsilva, R., & Lyons, R.A. (2012). Protecting health data privacy while using residence-based environment and demographic data. *Health and Place*, 18, 209–217.
- Rodgers, S.E., Lyons, R.A., Dsilva, R., Jones, K.H., Brooks, C.J., Ford, D.V., ... Verplancke, J.P. (2009). Residential anonymous linking fields (RALFs): A novel information infrastructure to study the interaction between the environment and individuals' health. *Journal of Public Health*, 31, 582–588.
- Russell, A.E., Ford, T., & Russell, G. (2019). Barriers and predictors of medication use for childhood ADHD: Findings from a UK population-representative cohort. *Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology*, 54, 1555–1564.
- Rydell, M., Lundström, S., Gillberg, C., Lichtenstein, P., & Larsson, H. (2018). Has the attention deficit hyperactivity disorder phenotype become more common in children between 2004 and 2014? Trends over 10 years from a Swedish general population sample. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 59, 863–871.
- Sayal, K., Prasad, V., Daley, D., Ford, T., & Coghill, D. (2018). ADHD in children and young people: Prevalence, care pathways, and service provision. *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 5, 175–186.
- Underwood, J.F.G., DelPozo-Banos, M., Frizzati, A., John, A., & Hall, J. (2022). Evidence of increasing recorded diagnosis of autism spectrum disorders in Wales, UK: An e-cohort study. *Autism*, 26, 1499–1508.
- Willcutt, E.G. (2012). The prevalence of DSM-IV attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder: A meta-analytic review. *Neurotherapeutics: The Journal of the American Society for Experimental Neurotherapeutics*, 9, 490–499.
- Wimberley, T., Agerbo, E., Horsdal, H.T., Ottosen, C., Brikell, I., Als, T.D., ... Dalsgaard, S. (2020). Genetic liability to ADHD and substance use disorders in individuals with ADHD. *Addiction*, 115, 1368–1377.
- Wood, S., Marchant, A., Allsopp, M., Wilkinson, K., Bethel, J., Jones, H., & John, A. (2019). Epidemiology of eating disorders in primary care in children and young people: A clinical practice research datalink study in England. *BMJ Open*, 9, e026691.
- Wright, N., Moldavsky, M., Schneider, J., Chakrabarti, I., Coates, J., Daley, D., ... Sayal, K. (2015). Practitioner review: Pathways to care for ADHD - a systematic review of barriers and facilitators. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry, and Allied Disciplines*, 56, 598–617.
- Young, S., Adamo, N., Ásgeirsdóttir, B.B., Branney, P., Beckett, M., Colley, W., ... Woodhouse, E. (2020). Females with ADHD: An expert consensus statement taking a lifespan approach providing guidance for the identification and treatment of attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in girls and women. *BMC Psychiatry*, 20, 404.
- Young, S., Asherson, P., Lloyd, T., Absoud, M., Arif, M., Colley, W.A., ... Skirrow, C. (2021). Failure of healthcare provision for attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder in the United Kingdom: A consensus statement. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 12, 324.

Accepted for publication: 22 February 2024